in supporting it. Why do parties exist if not to support good causes ?

Sri R. M. PATIL.—I am not accusing anybody or any institution and I am not making any allegation against anybody. I am stating only facts and the facts are these. Therefore this is not a case to which the Home Minister's attention is to be called.

Sri K. LAKKAPPA (Hebbur).—The Home Minister was pleased to say that the P. S. P. is supporting the Samyukta Karnatak Kannada Sangh. What is the source of information of the Home Minister to make this statement ? Will he substantiate it ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—Before the Minister answers the point, I want to ask the Hon. Member whether he disputes his statement. If he disputes I will call upon him to reply. Otherwise I am not going to permit him.

Sri K. LAKKAPPA.—Unless the Home Minister divulges his source of information, how can we dispute it ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Leader of the P. S. P. in the Legislature has said that they are supporting this institution and all good causes.

Sri K. LAKKAPPA.—The Home Minister has said that the P. S. P. is supporting this institution. What is the source of information on which he has said this ? I want a clarification on that point.

Sri R. M. PATIL.—The keen interest shown by so many members of the P. S. P. here on the floor of the House is itself proof of that.

PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE

Sri R. M. PATIL. (Minister for Home).—I beg to lay on the table Notification No. HD 58 (2) TMT, 62 dated 4th June 1962 (Exemption of Tax payable in respect of the Motor Vehicle of Mr. Edward V. Wood, T.C.M. Expert), as required under Section 16 (2) of the Mysore Motor Vehicles Taxation Act, 1957.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA (Sira).—Sir, I am making a request for the past 10 days to get a reply from the Education Minister for an inconsistent statement made by him.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The hon'ble member has been saying that there is some contradiction with regard to facts.

Sri S. R. KANTHI (Minister for Education).—I do not know what exactly is the point because neither the member nor the Secretary has communicated to me what is the inconsistency about it.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Shall I ask him to state his point ?

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Sir, there are 3 Additional Joint Directors and their duties and responsibilities have not been clarified. They are treated just like Head Clerks. They have to work under the D. P. I. to whom every paper has to go. They are just like case workers in the Secretariat. I want to know what is their position, what is their status and what are their duties and responsibilities. The other day when I raised the point the Education Minister was pleased to reply that there is a notification and the Chair directed to please give me a fresh copy of it and asked me to go through it. I went about for one full week, but there is no such notification and there is no such clarification. There is no such direction from Government. The matter of assigning duties and responsibilities is pending before Government. I have brought it to your notice. I have sent you 3 letters in this connection. I have requested the Chair and the Government through the Chair for a clarification, but till today the Education Minister has not come forward with a definite reply. I want to know whether his statement is correct or not.

†Sri S. R. KANTHI.—The Hon'ble member uses a language which is rather strange. I do not know what is the inconsistency. If I do not know what I have got to reply to, how can I reply? I have only now come to know what he wants me to say. He